

| <i>Sl. No.</i> | <i>Names of Villages</i> | <i>Date of depositing money</i> |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 41 | Kodipura | ... |
| 42 | Saragoor | ... |
| 43 | Thorekadanhally | ... |
| 44 | Dalvoykodihally | ... |
| 45 | Bheemanahally | ... |
| 46 | Chottanahally | ... |
| 47 | Inorahundi | ... |
| 48 | Amrutheswaranahally | ... |
| 49 | Boppagowdanapura | ... |
| 50 | Nittoor Kodihally | ... |
| 51 | Dasana Doddi | ... |
| 52 | Gulashatta | ... |
| 53 | Kiragaval | ... |
| 54 | Belothoor | ... |
| 55 | Hosahalli | ... |
| 56 | Nidaghatta | ... |
| 57 | Kembootagere | ... |
| 58 | Sahalli | ... |
| 59 | Chikkabbagilu | ... |
| 60 | Halagoor | ... |
| 61 | Maganoor | ... |
| 62 | Bandoor | ... |
| 63 | Kalveeranahally | ... |
| 64 | Anasale | ... |
| 65 | Honaganahally | ... |
| 66 | Kallarey Pura | ... |

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ವಿ. ಎಿರ್ಲೆಗೌಡ.—ಇದರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಜರುವ ಹಣಿಯಲ್ಲಿ 1957-58ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹಣ ದಿವಾಜಿತ ವಾದಿರುವ ಹೆಚ್.ಗಳ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರಕ್ಕೂಟ್ಟು ಬಿಕಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಹತ್ತು ಕಡಿನ್ನೇಡು ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಹಿಂದೆ ಹಣ ದಿವಾಜಿತ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಹೆಚ್.ಗಳ ಹೆಸರನ್ನು ಕೊಡುದೆ ಇರುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾರಣವೇನು!

ತೀರ್ಥಿ ಏ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.—ಯಾವ ಶಾರಿನವರು ಶಾಷ್ಟಿದಾರೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ನಾನು ನೇಡುತ್ತೇನೆ. ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಶಾರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹರಿಜನರಿಗೇನ್ನು ರಸ್ತೆಗೆ ಅಕ್ಕೆಪ್ಪರ್ವಾದುತ್ತೇವೆಯೇ ಅವಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಹಾರದವರೇ ಹಣವನ್ನು ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರಾದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಘಿರಿಗೆನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರು.

ଶ୍ରୀ ଏବେ । ଏ. ଏଇରେଣ୍ଟାର୍—ନପଣେଇୟାଇଗେ, ଗାୟମନ୍ଦରା ଦୁଇମୁଦ୍ରା କୋଣ୍ଟିରୁବନ୍ଦରୁ ହେଲାଗଲାନ୍ତି ଜୀବରତି ତୀର୍ତ୍ତିପଦିରଲୁ କରାରିବେନ୍ତି ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಇ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಯ್ಯ.—ಯಾವ ಯಾವ ಶಾರಿನವರು ಹಂ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರಂಬಿದು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿರುವವನು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರೆ ಬೇಗ *expedito* ಮಾಡಿ ಪುತ್ತಿನೇ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ವಿ. ವೀರೇಗೌಡ.—ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಭಿಸ್ಥಾನ ನಲ್ಲಿ ದಾಖಲಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಈ. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ.— ಅಳ್ಳಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವುದನ್ನು ಕೊಡಿದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ವಿ. ಎರೆಗೌಡ.—ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಭೀಸಿ ನಿಂದ ಏಕ ಪ್ರತಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನವಾಚಾರ ಬೆಂದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದರು.

Drainage Scheme for Mangalore.

*Q.—309. Sri B. VAIKUNTA
BALIGA (Mangalore).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the drainage scheme for the Mangalore Municipality has been sanctioned;

(b) the steps Government propose to take in the matter of constructions relating to the same?

A.—SRI T. SUBRAMANYA (Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government).—

(a) Pending final approval of the Mangalore Underground Drainage project and receipt of Central assistance, sanction has been accorded to the grant of a loan of Rupees five lakhs to the Municipality so as to enable it to go ahead with the work immediately.

(b) Government of India has been requested to consider this scheme as a special one and grant 50 per cent of the cost of the scheme as subsidy and the balance as loan.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—May I know whether this money has been actually spent or it is only a provision?

†**Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.**—We have sanctioned Rupees five lakhs, but they have not yet drawn it.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Has the necessary staff for spending this sum of Rupees five lakhs been appointed by the Local Self-Government Department or the Public Works Department?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The Local Self-Government will give all assistance to the Municipality.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—May I know whether that has been done by this time?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—It has not yet been done fully. The staff has been sanctioned probably partially.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—May I have a clarification with regard to the answer to (b)? My question was about construction, and the reply is about the scheme. What is the difference between construction and scheme?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—The scheme which is for Rs. 139.6 lakhs is ready. It has to receive the sanction of the Government of India. We have recommended to them as a special case that 50 per cent of the amount may be given by them as grant and 50 per cent as loan. We have not yet received any reply to that and it is pending with them. In the meantime in order that the work may be taken up immediately, we have sanctioned a loan of Rs. 5 lakhs.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—I am asking for information as to whether there is distinction between scheme and construction.

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—My friend knows it. It requires no special answer.

Sri B. VAIKUNTA BALIGA.—Have any steps been taken for construction?

Sri T. SUBRAMANYA.—Construction has not yet started.

Construction of Bridge across the river Suvarnavathi near Alur.

*Q.—342. **Sri B. RACHIAH** (Chamarajanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether detailed estimate has been prepared for the construction of a bridge across the river Suvarnavathi near Alur in Chamarajanagar taluk;

(b) if so, the estimated cost thereof?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Rs. 4,50,000.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Has sanction been accorded to the plans and estimates?

†**Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.**—Not yet.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Is it not a fact that this scheme is included in the 1958-59 Centrally sponsored schemes?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Budget provision has been made and it is included in the Centrally sponsored schemes. We will take it up as soon as we get the sanction of the Government of India.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Are Government convinced of the necessity of having this bridge at this place in view of the fact that it connects about 50 villages with the taluk headquarters by cutting short the distance by 9 miles?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Government are convinced that it is a necessity and they will take all necessary steps to expedite the matter.

Sri B. RACHIAH.—Is it not desirable to accord sanction to the plans and estimates for execution of this work in anticipation of getting the approval of the Central Government?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The suggestion of the Hon'ble Member will be examined.

Minister's Statement re: Question No. 32

Sri B. D. JATTI (Chief Minister).—Sir, while replying to question No. 32 I made a reference to the Kolar Gold Mining Undertakings (Acquisition) Act, 1956. I will read only the relevant section, namely section 9 which clarifies the whole point: